<u>REMARKS</u>

Summary of the Office Action

Claims 6, 9, 11 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaoka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,404,729) (hereinafter "Yamaoka") in view of Kuroda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,181,657) (hereinafter "Kuroda").

Claims 7, 8, 10, 12, 13 and 15, while objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicants have canceled claims 6 and 11 and amended claims 7-9 and 12-14 in light of the Office Action's indication of allowable subject matter in claims 7 and 12. Also, Applicants have added new claims 16-18 to differently describe embodiments of the disclosure of the instant application's specification. Accordingly, claims 7-10 and 12-18 are currently pending for consideration.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 6, 9, 11 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaoka in view of Kuroda. Applicants have canceled claims 6 and 11 and amended claims 7-9 and 12-14 in light of the Office Action's indication of allowable subject matter in claims 7 and 12. More particularly, claim 7 has been amended to include the features of claim 6 and claim 12 has been amended to include the features of claim 11. Accordingly, newly-amended claims 7 and 12 are in prima-facie condition for allowance.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 46969-5129-01

Application No.: 10/698,422

Page 8

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully assert that dependent claims 8-10 and 13-15 are

allowable at least because of their dependence from amended independent claim 7 or 12, and the

reasons set forth above.

Newly-Added Claims

Applicants have added new claims 16-18 to differently describe embodiments of the

disclosure of the instant application's specification. These newly-added claims are allowable at

least because of their dependence from amended independent claim 7 or 12, and the reasons set

forth above.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the pending claims currently under

consideration are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request reconsideration and timely

allowance of these claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after

consideration of this response, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned

representative to expedite prosecution. A favorable action is awaited.

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby

authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this

application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including

DC\576636\1

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 46969-5129-01

Application No.: 10/698,422

Page 9

any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0573.

This paragraph is intended to be a CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF

TIME in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

Dated: August 30, 2006

By:

Paul A. Fournier Reg. No. 41,023

Customer No. 055694

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005-1209

Tel.: (202) 842-8800 Fax: (202) 842-8465